TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
Scope and Applicability	1
Summary	1
Data Qualifiers	1
Lab Qualifiers	2
Reviewer Qualifications	
-	
PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLES	3
1.0 Chain of Custody and Sampling Trip Reports	<u>~</u>
1.0 <u>Chain of Custody and Sampling Trip Reports</u>	<u>~</u>
3.0 Cover Letter SDG Narrative	<u>≃</u> ⊿
4.0 <u>Data Validation Checklist</u>	
4.0 Data variuation thethirst	<u></u>
PART A: VOA ANALYSES	ے
•	
1.0 <u>Sample Conditions/Problems</u>	
2.0 <u>Holding Times</u>	
3.0 <u>Deuterated Monitoring Compound (DMC) Recovery (Form II</u>	
<u>LCV)</u>	8
4.0 Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Recovery (Form III	
<u>LCV)</u>	
5.0 <u>Method Blanks (Form IV LCV)</u>	1
5.0 <u>Method Blanks (Form IV LCV)</u>	3
7.0 GC/MS Instrument Performance Check (Form V-LCV) 1	5
8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes (Form I LCV) 1	7
9.0 <u>Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)</u> <u>1</u>	8
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits 2	
11.0 <u>Standards Data (GC/MS)</u>	
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI)	
13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII LCV) 2	2
14.0 <u>Internal Standard (Form VIII LCV)</u>	= 4
15.0 <u>Field Duplicates</u>	5
13.0 <u>11010 bupiledees</u>	_
PART B: BNA ANALYSES	۵
2.0 <u>Holding Times</u>	
3.0 Deuterated Monitoring Compound Recovery (Form II LCSV) 2	
4.0 <u>Laboratory MS/MSD (Form III LCSV)</u>	
5.0 <u>Blanks (Form IV LCSV)</u>	
6.0 <u>Contamination</u>	
7.0 GC/MS Instrument Performance Check (Form V LCSV) 3	3
8.0 Target Compound List (TCL) Analytes (Form I LCSV) . 3	4
9.0 <u>Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)</u> <u>3</u>	
10.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits 3	
11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS)	
12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI LCSV)	
13.0 GC/MS Continuing Calibration (Form VII LCSV) 4	
	-

	14.0 <u>Internal Standards (Form VIII LCSV)</u>	. <u>41</u> . <u>42</u>
PART	C: PESTICIDE/AROCLOR ANALYSIS	43
	1.0 <u>Sample Conditions/Problems</u>	<u>43</u>
	2.0 Holding Times	<u>43</u>
	3.0 <u>Surrogate Recovery (Form II LCP)</u>	44
	4.0 <u>Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)</u>	46
	5.0 Laboratory MS/MSD (Form III LCP-1)	48
	6.0 Blanks (Form IV LCP)	48
	7.0 <u>Contamination</u>	49
	8.0 Calibration and GC Performance	<u>50</u>
	9.0 Analytical Sequence Check (Form VIII LCP)	<u>56</u>
	10.0 Cleanup Efficiency Verification (Form IX LCP)	<u>57</u>
		-2) <mark>58</mark>
	12.0 Target Compound List	<u>60</u>
	13.0 Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits	
		<u>61</u>
	14.0 Field Duplicates	<u>62</u>
	Definitions	<u>63</u>
	References	<u>64</u>

INTRODUCTION

Scope and Applicability

This SOP offers detailed guidance in evaluating laboratory data generated according to the methods in the "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work Pages for Organics Analysis Low Concentration Water OLCO3.2," December 2000. The validation methods and actions discussed in this document are based on the requirements set forth in the "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review," June 2001. This document attempts to cover technical as well as contractual problems specific to each fraction; however, situations may arise where data limitations must be assessed based on the reviewer's own professional judgement.

In addition to technical requirements, contractual requirements are also covered in this document. While it is important that instances of contract non-compliance be addressed in the Data Assessment, the technical criteria are always used to qualify the analytical data.

Summary

To ensure a thorough evaluation of each result in a data case, the reviewer must complete the checklist within this SOP, answering specific questions while performing the prescribed "ACTIONS" in each section. Qualifiers (or flags) are applied to questionable or unusable results as instructed. The data qualifiers discussed in this document are as follows:

Data Qualifiers

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- N The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for

which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification."

- NJ The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.
- UJ The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- R The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

Lab Qualifiers:

- D The positive value is the result of an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
- B The analyte is present in the associated method blank as well as in the sample. This qualifier has a different meaning when validating inorganic data.
- E The concentration of this analyte exceeds the calibration range of the instrument.
- P Pesticide/Aroclor target analytes when the % Difference between the analyte concentrations obtained from the two dissimilar GC columns is greater than 25%.

The reviewer must prepare a detailed data assessment to be submitted along with the completed SOP checklist. The Data Assessment must list all data qualifications, reasons for qualifications, instances of missing data and contract noncompliance.

Reviewer Qualifications:

Data reviewers must possess a working knowledge of the USEPA Statement of Work OLC03.2 and National Functional Guidelines mentioned above.

USEPA Region Method: CLP/ S)))))))))))	Date: July , 2001 SOP HW-13, Revision 3)))))))))))) YES NO N/A	
	PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AND DELIVERABLE	ES
CASE NUMBE	R:LAB:	
SITE NAME:	SDG No(s).:	
1.0 Chain of	Custody and Sampling Trip Reports	
	are the Traffic Reports/Chain-of-Custody Represent for all samples?	cords []
ACTION:	If no contact RSCC, or the TOPO to obtai replacement of missing or illegible copi from the lab.	
	s the Sampling Trip Report present for all amples and all fractions?	
ACTION:	If no, contact either RSCC or ask the TOP obtain the necessary information from the contractor.	
2.0 Data Com	pleteness and Deliverables	
	Mave any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package?	
ACTION:	Contact the TOPO to obtain an explanation resubmittal of any missing deliverables f If lab cannot provide them, note the effe review of the data package in the Contrac Problems/Non-compliance section of the Da Assessment.	rom the lab. ct on the t
	Was CLASS CCS checklist included with the backage?	
F	are there any discrepancies between the Tra Reports/Chain-of-Custody Records, Sampling Report and Sample Tags?	

USEPA Regi Method: CL			: July HW-13, I	, 20 Revisi	
s))))))))))))))))) ^{YES}	NO	N/A
ACTIO	N: If yes, contact the TOPO to obtain an expl resubmittal of any missing deliverables fr laboratory.				
3.0 <u>Cover</u>	<u>Letter SDG Narrative</u>				
3.1	Is the SDG Narrative or Cover Letter Present	:?			
3.2	Are case number, SDG number and contract number contained in the SDG Narrative or cover lett (see SOW, Exhibit B, section 2.5.1)? EPA sample numbers in the SDG, detailed documentation of any quality control, sample shipment, and/or analytical problems encount in processing the samples? Corrective action taken?	er e, cereo	r L_1		
3.3	Does the Narrative contain the following information (see SOW, page B-12, section 2.5	5.1)	:		
	VOA: description or trap and column(s) used during sample analyses?				
	BNA: description of column(s) used during sa analyses?	ample	e <u>[]</u>		
	PEST: description of columns used during sa analyses?	ample	e <u>[]</u>		
NOTE:	As stated in the SOW, page D-11/PEST, section packed columns cannot be used.	on 6	.10.1.3	.7,	
3.4	Does the narrative, VOA and BNA sections, contain a list of all TICs identified as alk and their estimated concentrations?	kanes	<u> </u>		
3.5	Is the temperature indicator bottle present the cooler? If not, did the Laboratory document in the SDG Narrative the alternative techniqued to determine the cooler temperature? (Exp. A/p. A-7 sec. 4.2.1.2.3.3)	ment que	it		

	LP/SOW, OLC03.2	Date: July , 200 SOP HW-13, Revision	
s)))))))))))))))))) NO	N/A
3.6	Does the narrative contain a list of the pH values determined for each water sample substor volatiles analysis (SOW, page B-13, sec 2.5.1.2)?	mitted	
3.7	Does the Case Narrative contain the "verbat statement as required on page B-12, section of the SOW?		
ACTI)	ON: If "No", to any question in this section, TOPO to obtain necessary resubmittals. I information is unavailable, document unde Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section Assessment.	f the r the	
4.0 <u>Data</u>	Validation Checklist		
4.1	Check the package for the following (see SO requirements, section 2.1, page B-10):	W reporting	
	a. Is the package paginated in ascending or starting from the SDG narrative?	der <u> </u>	
	b. Are all forms and copies legible?	Ш	
	c. Is each fraction assembled in the order forth in the SOW?	set	
	The following checklist is divided into thre A is filled out if the data package contain Concentration Volatile analyses, Part B for Concentration Semivolatile analyses and Par Concentration Pesticide/Aroclors.	s any Low any Low	
	Does this package contain:		
	Low Concentration Volatiles Data?		
	Low Concentration Semivolatiles Data?		

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

ACTION: Complete corresponding parts of checklist.

USEPA Region	II	Date: J	uly	, 20	01
Method: CLP/	SOW, OLC03.2	SOP HW-	13, R€	visi	on 3
s))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))		/-
			YES	NO	N/A
	DADE A. UOA ANALVORO				
	PART A: VOA ANALYSES				
1.0 <u>Sample C</u>	onditions/Problems				
1.1 D	o the Traffic Reports/Chain-of-Custody Rec	cords,			
S	ampling Trip Report or Lab Narrative indic	cate			
a	ny problems with sample receipt, conditior	n of			
S	amples, analytical problems or special				
	ircumstances affecting the quality of the	data?		Г]	
ACTION.	If samples were not iced or the ice was m	nelted 11	non		
11011011.	arrival at the laboratory and the tempera				
	cooler was > 10° C, then flag all positiv				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	e resur	20		
	with a "J" and all non-detects "UJ".				
7 OF TON	TC 1 +1 T707 ' 1 C 1 1 ' 1	117	. 1		
ACTION:	If both VOA vials for a sample have air k			9	
	VOA vial analyzed had air bubbles, flag a	all posi	tive		
	results "J" and all non-detects "R".				
2.0 <u>Holding</u>	<u>Times</u>				
2.1 H	ave any VOA technical holding times, deter	rmined			
f	rom date of collection to date of analysis	s, been			
е	xceeded?			<u>[]</u>	
<u>T</u>	echnical Holding Times: The technical hold	ding tim	.e		
С	riterion for water samples is 14 days from	n sample			
	ollection provided that samples are acid-p	-		ЭΗ	
	or below, and that they are stored in 4°C		_		
	·			_	
	ncertain about preservation, notify the TO			-	
	he sampler and determine whether or not sa	ampies w	ere		
р	reserved.				
ACTION:	List sampling, VTSR, analysis dates and p				
	for samples which missed holding time in	the tab	le		
	below.				

	n II 'SOW, OLC03.2)))))))))))))))) 			7-13, Revision 3
		f Holding Tim hain-of-Custo		
Sample ID		Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed
				·
				·
ACTION:	Qualify sample rest			
ā	preserved, but were time (14 days from positive results for ketones and aromat	e analyzed wi sample colle or <u>non-haloge</u>	thin the technic ction), qualify <u>nated</u> compounds	al holding all (including
k	preserved, but were collection, qualify compounds with "J"	e analyzed wi y all positiv	thin 14 days fro e results for <u>ha</u>	om sample
C	c.If there is no evidence of the preserved, and the from sample collected all volatile composes.	samples were tion, qualify	analyzed beyond positive result	l 14 days s for
C	d.If the samples were			=

 $\underline{\text{compounds}}$ with "J" and non-detects "R".

collection), qualify positive results for <u>all volatile</u>

Metho			Date: J SOP HW-:))))))))	13, Re		
	NOTE:	Contractual Holding Times: Sample must be an 10 days from validated time of sample receipthe laboratory.	_		.n	
3.0 <u>I</u>	Deutera	ated Monitoring Compound (DMC) Recovery (Form	n II LC	<u>V)</u>		
	3.1	Are the Volatile SMC Recovery Summaries (For LCV-1 and LCV-2) present?	rm II	11		
	ACTION	N: Call the TOPO to obtain an explanation/res from the lab. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document the effect in the Da Assessment.	Э	al		
	3.2	Were outliers marked correctly with an aster	risk?	11		
	ACTION	N: Circle all outliers in red.				
	3.3	Were more than three of the fourteen (14) Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMC's) recoveries outside their corresponding limit	ts?			
		If yes, were samples re-analyzed?		11		
		Were method blanks re-analyzed?				
	ACTION	J: If any DMC is outside the required limits	(see Ta	able		

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

VOLATILE DMC AND THEIR ASSOCIATED TARGET COMPOUNDS

below), qualify their associated target compounds

(See Table below) as follows:

USEPA Region II Date: July , 2001 Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3

Chloroethane-d5 Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethane Bromomethane Chloroethane Carbon Disulfide	1,2-Dichloropropane-d6 Cyclohexane Methylcyclohexane 1,2-Dichloropropane Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Chlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene
		1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene
Bromoform-d Dibromochloromethane 1,2-Dibromoethane Bromoform	trans-1,3- Dichloropropene-d4 cis-1,3-Dichloropropene trans-1,3- Dichloropropene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Chloroform-d 1,1-Dichloroethane Bromochloromethane Chloroform
2-Butanone-d5	1,1-dichloroethene-d2	2-Hexanone-d5
Acetone 2-butanone	trans-1,2- Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	4-Methyl-2-pentanone 2-Hexanone
Vinyl Chloride-d3	Benzene-d6	1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane-
Vinyl Chloride	Benzene	d2 1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane 1,2-Dibromo-3- chloropropane

1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	<u>Toluene-d8</u>	
Trichlorofluoromethane 1,1-Dichloroethene 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2- trifluoroethane Methyl Acetate Methylene Chloride Methyl tert-Butyl Ether Carbon Tetrachloride 1,2-Dichloroethane 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene Toluene Tetrachloroethene Ethylbenzene Xylenes (total) Styrene Isopropylbenzene	

VOLATILE DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUND RECOVERY LIMITS

DMC	%RECOVERY LIMITS	DMC	%RECOVERY LIMITS
Vinyl Chloride-d3	49-138	1,2- Dichloroprop ane-d6	84-123
Chloroethane-d5	60-126	Toluene-d8	77-120
DMC	%RECOVERY LIMITS	DMC	%RECOVERY LIMITS
1,1- Dichloroethe ne-d2	65-130	trans-1,3- Dichloropropane- d4	80-128
2-Butanone-d5	42-171	2-Hexanone-d5	37-169
Chloroform-d	80-123	Bromoform-d	76-135
1,2- Dichloroetha ne-d4	78-129	1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroe thane-d2	75-131
Benzene-d6	78-121	1,2- Dichlorobenz ene-d4	50-150

	SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW	-13, R	-	
s)))))))))))))))))))	NO	N/A
1	. For any recovery greater than the upper limit	:		
	a. Qualify "J" all positive associated target cob. Do not qualify associated non-detects.	ompoun	ds.	
2	. For any recovery greater than or equal to 209 less than the lower limit:	हे, but		
	a. Qualify "J" all positive associated target cob. Qualify "UJ" associated non-detects.	ompoun	ds.	
3	. For any recovery less than 20%:			
	a. Qualify "J" all positive associated target cob. Qualify "R" all associated non-detects.	ompoun	ds.	
NOTE:	Up tp three (3) DMC's per sample may fail to meet limits. (SOW OLCO3.2, sec. 11.4.4, p. D-41/VOA) As per SOW, any sample which has more than 3 DMC the limits, it must be reanalyzed (sec. 11.5.1 p. d-42/VOA).			_
ACTION:	Note in the Data Assessment under Contract Probi			
3.4	Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and form II?			
ACTION:	If large errors exist, ask the TOPO to obtain an explanation/resubmittal from the lab, make any necessary corrections and note errors in the datassessment.			
4.0 Matrix Sp	pike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Recovery (Form III Lo	<u>CV)</u>		
	Is the MS/MSD Recovery Form (Form III LCV) present?			
	Was the MS/MSD analyzed at the required requency (once per SDG, or every 20 samples,			

USEPA Reg Method: C		Date: 3	_	, 20 Revisi	
s))))))))))))))))	NO	N/A
	whichever is more frequent) for the Low Concentration VOA method?		11		
ACTI	ON: If any MS/MSD data are missing, take acti specified in section 3.1 above.	on as			
ACTI	ON: No action is taken on MS/MSD data <u>alone</u> . Using professional judgement, the Validat use the MS and MSD results in conjunction QC criteria and determine the need for so of the data.	or may with o	other	tion	
5.0 Method	d Blanks (Form IV LCV)				
5.1	Is the Volatile Method Blank Summary (Form LCV) present?	IV	11		
5.2	Frequency of Analysis: For the analysis of Concentration VOA TCL compounds, has a meth blank been analyzed for each SDG or every 2 samples, whichever is more frequent?	od			
5.3	Has a VOA method blank been analyzed at lea once every twelve hours for each GC/MS systused?				
5.4	Was a VOA instrument blank analyzed after e sample/dilution which contained a target co at a concentration $> 25 : g/R$, and ketones $> g/R$ (see SOW, page D-44/VOA, section 12.1.1)	mpound 125			
ACTI	ON: If any method/instrument blank data are m notify the TOPO to obtain resubmittals or explanation from the lab. If method blan unavailable, the reviewer may use profes judgement, or substitute field blank or t data for missing method blank data.	an k data sional	are		
	If an instrument blank was not analyzed aft containing > 25 : $g/R_{\!s}$ (ketones > 125 : $g/R_{\!s}$		_		

sample chromatogram acquired immediately after this sample

	CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3
5)))))))	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,
	for possible carryover. Use professional judgement to determine if carryover occurred and qualify analyte(s) accordingly.
5.5	Was a storage blank analyzed once per SDG after all the samples were analyzed?
ACT	ION: If storage blank data is missing, contact the TOPO to obtain any missing deliverables from the laboratory. If unavailable, note in the Contract Problems/Non- Compliance section of the Data Assessment.
5.6	The validator should verify that the correct identification scheme for EPA blanks was used. (See SOW page B-30, section 3.3.7.3 for more information.)
	Was the correct identification scheme used for all Low Concentration VOA blanks? []
ACT	ION: Contact the TOPO to obtain corrections from the lab, or make the necessary corrections. Document in the "Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section of the Data Assessment all corrections made by the validator.
5.7	<pre>Chromatography: review the blank raw data - chromatograms (RICs), quant. reports, data system printouts and spectra.</pre>
	Also compare the storage blank raw data with the method blank. Determine if contamination in the storage blank is also present in the method blank.
	Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for Low Concentration VOAs?
ACT	ION: Use professional judgement to determine the effect on the data.
5.8	Are all detected hits for target compounds in method, instrument and storage blanks less than the CRQL for that analyte?

USEPA Region II Date: July , 2001 Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3 N/A Exception: Acetone and 2-butanone must be less than 2X times the CRQL, and Methylene Chloride and Cyclohexane must be less than 10X times its CRQL. ACTION: If no, an explanation and laboratory's corrective actions must be addressed in the case narrative. If the narrative contains no explanation, then make a note in the Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section of the Data Assessment. 6.0 Contamination NOTE: "Water blanks", "drill blanks", and distilled water blanks" are validated like any other sample, and are not used to qualify data. Do not confuse them with the other QC blanks discussed below. 6.1 Does the storage blank contain positive results (TCL and/or TICs) for Low Concentration VOAs? __ [_] __ ACTION: If the storage blank contains target compounds at a concentration greater than the CRQL, positive sample results for those compounds should be flagged "J". If gross contamination occurred positive sample results for that compound may be rejected (R). 6.2 Do any method/reagent/instrument blanks contain positive results (including TICs) for Low Concentration VOAs? When applied as described in the table below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks are multiplied by the sample dilution factor. NOTE: Contaminated instrument blanks are unacceptable under this SOW (see page D-46/VOA, section 12.1.6.2). ACTION: Document in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance if a contaminated instrument

blank was submitted.

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

USEPA Region II Date: July , 2001
Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3
S))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
YES NO N/A

ACTION: Sample analysis results after the high concentration sample must be evaluated for carryover. Sample must meet the maximum carryover criteria as listed in SOW sec. 11.4.9.2, p. D-42/VOA. ("the sample must not contain a concentration above the CRQL for the target compounds that exceeded the limit in the contaminated sample.")

6.3 Do any field/trip/rinse blanks have positive Low Concentration VOA results (including TICs)? ____ [] ____

ACTION: Prepare a list of the samples associated with each of the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separate sheet.)

NOTE: All field blank results associated with a particular group of samples (may exceed one per case) must be used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Field blanks & trip blanks must be qualified for system monitoring compound, instrument performance criteria, spectral or calibration QC problems.

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks. If any blanks are grossly contaminated, all associated sample data should be qualified unusable (R).

For:	Flag sample result with a "U" when:	Report CRQL & qualify "U" when:	No qualification is needed when:
Methylene Chloride Cyclohexane	Sample conc. is > CRQL, but < 10x blank value.	Sample conc. is < CRQL and < 10x blank value.	Sample conc. is > CRQL and > 10x blank value.
Acetone 2-Butanone	Sample conc. is > CRQL, but < 2x blank value.	Sample conc. is < CRQL and < 2x blank value.	Sample conc. is > CRQL and > 2x blank value.

	P/SOW, OLC03.2		Date: July , 2001 SOP HW-13, Revision)))))))))))))) YES NO N
Other contami-	Sample conc. is	Sample conc. is	Sample conc. is
nants	·	< CRQL and $<$ 1x blank value.	> CRQL and > 1x blank value.
NOTE:		"U" for blank contam lifying for calibrati	
ACTIC	is less than fiv	es, if the concentrative times the concentrations ociated blank, flag to	tion in the most
6.4	Are there field/ri with every sample?	nse/equipment blanks	associated
ACTIC	N: Note in data ass field/rinse/equi	essment that there is pment blank.	no associated
		es taken from a drink ted field blanks.	ing water tap do
7.0 <u>GC/MS</u>	Instrument Performa	nce Check (Form V-LCV)_
7.1		rument Performance Chant for Bromofluoroben	
7.2		ear graph spectrum and listing for the BFB p our shift?	
7.3		performance compound to twelve hours of samp nument?	
ACTIC		instrument ID and same GC/MS tuning data are	-

d: CL	on II P/SOW, OLC03.:))))))))))))		Date: July SOP HW-13,)))))))))))))))) 	Revis
DATE	TIME	INSTRUMENT ID	D SAMPLE NUMBERS	
ACTIO	If the lab	cannot provide mi	nissing data from the lab. nissing data, reject (R) a nicceptable twelve hour	
7.4	Have the ion		normalized to m/z 95	
NOTE:	nominal base		be normalized to m/z 95, the the ion abundance of m/z 95.	
ACTIO		signment is in err usable (R).	ror, qualify all associat	ed
7.5	Have the ion instrument us		ria been met for each	
ACTIO		ata which do not m separate sheet).	meet ion abundance criter	ia
ACTIO	Judgement n		ere not met, professional determine to what extent	
7.6	between mass		calculation errors 's? (Check at least found, check more.)	гі

Metho		P/SOW, OLC03.2	Date: 6	-13, F	, 20 Revisi	
S)))))))))))))))))))))))) · · ·	NO	N/A
	7.7	Is the number of significant figures for the reported relative abundances consistent with number given in the ion abundance criteria on Form V LCV?	h the			
	ACTION	I: If large errors exist, take action as specific section 3.1 above.	cified	in		
	7.8	Is the spectrum of the mass calibration compacceptable?	pound	11		
	ACTION	I: Use professional judgement to determine what associated data should be accepted, qualified rejected.		or		
8.0 <u>T</u>	arget	Compound List (TCL) Analytes (Form I LCV)				
	8.1	Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form with required header information on each pacthe following:		_		
		a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate?				
		b. Laboratory Control/MS/MSD samples?				
		c. Blanks?				
	8.2	Are the VOA Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms spectra for the identified compounds, and printouts (Quant Reports) included in the for each of the following:	the dat	ta sys		
		a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate?				
		b. Laboratory Control/MS/MSD samples?				
		c. Blanks?				
	ACTION	I: If any data are missing, take action spec.	ified :	in 3.1	-	

20

above.

	P/SOW, OLC03.2	Date: July , 2001 SOP HW-13, Revision 3			
s))))))))))))))))))))) YES NO N/A			
8.3	Is chromatographic performance acceptable	with respect to:			
	Baseline stability?				
	Resolution?	Ш			
	Peak shape?	Ш			
	Full-scale graph (attenuation)?	Ш			
	Other:?	П			
8.4	 N: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of the data. Are lab-generated standard mass spectra of identified VOA compounds present for each N: If any mass spectra are missing, take ac specified in 3.1 above. If lab does not their own standard spectra, make note un "Contract Problems/Non-Compliance" secti Assessment. If spectra are unavailable r reported results. 	the sample? [] tion as generate der the on of the Data			
8.5	Is the RRT of each reported compound withi ±0.06 RRT units of the standard RRT in the continuing calibration?				
8.6	Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity greater t also present in the sample mass spectrum?	han 10% <u>[]</u>			
8.7	Do sample and standard relative ion intensagree to within $\pm 20\%$?	ities			
ACTIO	N: Use professional judgement to determine of data. If it is determined that incor				

identifications were made, all such data should be

Metho		P/SOW, OLC03.2	Date: July , 2 SOP HW-13, Revis	
s))))))))))))))))))))) NO	N/A
		rejected (R) flagged "N" (presumptive evipresence of the compound) or changed to r (U) at the calculated detection limit. It positively identified, the data must comporteria listed in sections 8.4-8.7 above	not detected In order to be oly with the	
]	ACTION	: When sample carry-over is suspected, use judgement to determine if instrument cross-contamination has affected positive identifications.		
9.0 <u>T</u>	entati	vely Identified Compounds (TIC)		
	9.1	Are all Tentatively Identified Compound For (Form I LCV-TIC) present? Do listed TICs is scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "JN" qualifier?		
	9.2	Are the mass spectra for the tentatively is compounds and associated "best match" spect the sample package for each of the following	cra included in	
		a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate?	? []	
		b. Blanks?	П	
		b. Are Alkanes listed in/or part of the Cas Narrative?	se <u>[]</u>	
•	ACTION	I: If any TIC data are missing, take action 3.1 above.	specified in	
	ACTION	: Add "JN" qualifier to all chemically name missing.	ed TICs if	
	9.3	Are any target compounds (from any fraction listed as TICs? (Example: 1,2-dimethylbenze xylene - a VOA target analyte - and should reported as a TIC.)	ene is	L

Metho			July W-13, Re))))))) · · ·	, 200 evisio	
	ACTION	I: Flag with "R" only target compound detected in fraction. (Except blank contamination)	another	r	
	9.4	Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?			
	9.5	Do TIC and "best match" standard relative ion intensities agree within $\pm 20\%$?			
	ACTION	N: Use professional judgement to determine the acceptability of TIC identifications. If it is determined that an incorrect identification was change its identification to "unknown" or to so specific identification (example: "C3 substituted benzene") as appropriate. Also, when a compour not found in any blank, but is detected in a sea and is a suspected artifact of a common laborate contaminant, the result should be qualified as unusable (R). (I.e., common lab contaminants of CO2 - M/E 44, Siloxanes - M/E 73, hexane, Aldol condensation products, solvent preservatives, a related by-products. See the National Function Guidelines June 2001, pp. 34-35 for further guidelines June 2001, pp. 34-35 for further guidelines.	s made, ome less ted and is ample tory such as and and		
10.0	Compou	and Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits			
	10.1	Are there any transcription/calculation errors in Form I results? (Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standards, quantitation ions, and RRFs were used to calculate Form I results.)			
	10.2	Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions?			
	ACTION	N: If errors are large, take action as specified :	in		

section 3.1 above.

Metho		on II Date: July , 200 P/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision Date: July , 200	
	ACTION	When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQLs data from the diluted sample). Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and its corresponding value on the original Form I and substituting the data from the diluted sample. Specify which Form I is to be used, then draw a red "X" across the entire page of all Form I's not to be used, including any in the data summary package.	
11.0	Standa	ards Data (GC/MS)	
		Are the reconstructed ion chromatograms, and data system printouts (quant. reports) present for each initial and continuing calibration?	
	ACTION	N: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in section 3.1 above.	
12.0	GC/MS	Initial Calibration (Form VI)	
		Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI LCV) present and complete for the volatile fraction at concentrations of 0.5, 1, 5, 10, and 25 : g/R ?	
	ACTION	N: If any Initial Calibration forms are missing, take action as specified in section 3.1 above.	
		Are response factors stable for VOA's over the concentration range of the calibration (e.g., %RSD # 30.0, \leq 50 for poor performers)?	
	ACTION	N: Circle all outliers in red.	
		There are fourteen (14) compounds (see Table below) which are poor performers. The RRF for these compounds must be greater than or equal to 0.01. The %RSD must be less than or equal to 50%.	

USEPA Region II Date: July , 2001
Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3
S))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
YES NO N/A

VOLATILE COMPOUNDS WITH POOR RESPONSE

Volatile Compounds			
Acetone	1,2-Dichloropropane		
2-Butanone	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		
Carbon Disulfide	4-Methyl-2-pentanone		
Chloroethane	2-Hexanone		
Chloromethane	1,2-Dichloropropane-d6 (DMC)		
Cyclohexane	2-Hexanone-d5 (DMC)		
Chloroethane-d5 (DMC)	2-Butanone-d5 (DMC)		

NOTE: Although 20 Low Conc. VOA compounds have no maximum %RSD and require only minimal RRF performance (see Table D-2, page D-53/VOA), the technical acceptance criteria are the same for all analytes.

ACTION: If %RSD > 30.0%, or > 50.0% for the poor performers, qualify associated positive results for that analyte "J" (estimated) and non-detects using professional judgement. If %RSD is > 90, flag all non-detects for that analyte "R" (unusable) and positive hits "J".

NOTE: Analytes previously qualified "U" for blank contamination are still treated as "hits" when qualifying for initial calibration criteria.

12.3 Are any $\overline{RRF}s$ < 0.05 or < 0.01 for poor performers?

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ACTION: If any RRF values are < 0.05 or < 0.01 for poor performers, qualify associated non-detects unusable (R) and associated positive results estimated (J).

Metho		on II P/SOW, OLC03.2))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	Date: July SOP HW-13, Re))))))))))) 	-
	NOTE:	Contract Requirements: The SOW allows up to required analytes (see compounds marked with VI and Table D-2, page D-53/VOA) to fail cound RRF criteria, provided the %RSD is # 400.010.	th a "*" on Foontractual %RS	
	ACTION	I: If more than two of the required analytes or RRF criteria, document in the Data Ass Contract Problems/Non-Compliance.		<u>c</u>
	12.4	Are there any transcription/calculation errother reporting of RRFs, RRFs or %RSD values? (Check at least 2 values, but if errors are found, check more.)	?	Ш
	ACTION	I: Circle errors in red.		
	ACTION	I: If errors are large, contact the TOPO to explanation/resubmittal from the lab, doc Data Assessment under Contract Problems/N Compliance.	cument in the	
13.0	GC/MS	Continuing Calibration (Form VII LCV)		
	13.1	Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form LCV) present and complete for the volatile fraction?	IIV	
	13.2	Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?		
	ACTION	I: If any forms are missing or no continuing standard has been analyzed within twelve every sample analysis, ask the TOPO to obe explanation/resubmittal from the laborate continuing calibration data are unavailable associated sample data as unusable (R).	hours of otain ory. If	
	ACTION	I: List below all sample analyses that were twelve hours of the previous continuing of analysis.		

	on II P/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
13.3	Do any volatile compounds have a % Difference (%D) between the initial RRF and continuing RRF which exceeds the ± 30%, or ± 50% for the poor performers criteria?
ACTIO	N: Circle all outliers in red.
NOTE:	Although 20 Low Conc. VOA compounds have no maximum $^{\circ}$ D and require only minimal RRF performance (see Table D-2, page D-53/VOA), the technical acceptance criteria are the same for all analytes.
ACTIO	N: Qualify both positive results and non-detects for the outlier compound(s) as estimated (J). When % D is above 90%, reject all non-detects for that analyte as unusable (R) and qualify positive results "J".
13.4	Do any volatile compounds have a RRF < 0.05 or < 0.01 for the poor performers? []
ACTIO	N: Circle all outliers in red.
ACTIO	N: If the RRF < 0.05, or < 0.01 for poor performers qualify associated positive results as estimated (J) and associated non-detects unusable (R).
NOTE:	<pre>Contract Requirements: The SOW allows up to two of the required analytes (see compounds marked with a "*" on Form VI, or Table D-2, page D-53/VOA) to fail %D or RRF criteria, provided %D is within ±40.0 and RRF \$ 0.010</pre>
ACTIO	N: Document in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance if more than two of the required analytes failed the above acceptance criteria.
13.5	Are there any transcription/calculation errors in the reporting of RRFs, or %D between initial RRFs and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values but if errors are found, check more.)

ACTION: Circle errors with red pencil.

USEPA Region Method: CLP/S S)))))))))))		Date: July , 2001 SOP HW-13, Revision 3))))))))))) NO N/A
ACTION:	If errors are large, notify the TOPO to explanation/resubmittals from the lab. If errors in the Contract Problems/Non-Composite Data Assessment.	Document
14.0 Internal	Standard (Form VIII LCV)	
oi	re the internal standard areas (Form VIII every sample and blank within the upper ower limits (± 40%) for each continuing alibration?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ii	f no, was the sample reanalyzed?	Ш
ACTION:	1. Circle all outliers with red pencil.	
	2. List all the outliers below.	
Sample a	Int. Std. Area Lower Limit	t Upper Limit
		<u> </u>
	(Attach additional sheets if nece or attach copies of Form VIIIs	=
ACTION:	1. If the internal standard area count is upper limit, flag with "J" all positive quantitated with this internal standard	ve results
	2. Do not qualify non-detects when associounts are > +40%.	iated IS area
	3. If the IS area is less than the lower 40%), qualify "J" all positive results quantitated with this Internal Standar Qualify "R" all non-detects.	5

USEPA Region II	Dat	e: Jul	у ,	2001	
Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2			Revi	sion :	3
s)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) ZES NO) N/2	Α

INTERNAL STANDARDS ACTIONS FOR VOLATILES

CRITERIA	ACT	ION
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds
Area counts > 40% of 12-hour standard	" J"	No Action
Area counts < 40% of 12-hour	" J"	"R"

14.2	Are the retention times of the internal standards	
	within ±20 seconds of the associated calibration	
	standard?	

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 20 seconds.

NOTE: <u>Contract Requirements</u>: The SOW (section 11.5.1 page D-41/VOA) states that any sample which fails the acceptance criteria for IS response must be reanalyzed.

ACTION: Document in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance any sample(s) which failed the above IS acceptance criteria.

15.0 Field Duplicates

15.1	Were any field duplicates submitted for Low	
	Concentration VOA analysis?	

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. If large

differences exist, contact the TOPO to confirm identification of field duplicates with the sampler.

		STANI	DARD OPERATIN	NG PROCEDURE			
USEPA Regi Method: CL	P/SOW,				Date: July SOP HW-13,	Revisi	
s))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) · · · ·))))))))))))) · · · · · · ·)))))))))))))	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,) S NO	N/A
			PART B: BN	A ANALYSES			
1.0 <u>Sample</u>	Condit	ions/Proble	ms_				
1.1	or SDG sample proble	Narrative receipt, c	indicate any ondition of al notations	of-Custody re problems wit samples, anal affecting th	h ytical	_ []	
ACTIO	arri cool	val at the er was > 10	laboratory a	the ice west nd the temper ag all positic cts "UJ".	ature of the		
2.0 <u>Holdin</u>	g Times	<u> </u>					
2.1	holdin	g [†] times, de	termined fro	mivolatile te m the date of ion, been exc	•		
	extrac the da	tion of BNA te of colle	samples mus	nuous liquid- t begin withi acts must be ate.	n seven days		
				Time Violation			
		(Se	e Chain-of-C	ustody record	ls)		
Samp ID	le	Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed		

Metho		on II P/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision (2001)
	ACTION	I: If technical holding times were exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated (J) and sample quantitation limits as estimated (UJ), and document in the narrative that holding times were exceeded. If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon reanalysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. At a minimum, all results should be qualified "J" but the reviewer may determine that non-detect data are unusable (R). If holding times were exceeded by more than 28 days, qualify all non-detects unusable (R).
		<u>Contractual Holding Times</u> : Extraction of water samples must begin within 5 days VTSR. All laboratory extracts must be analyzed within 40 days of the VTSR.
	ACTION	I: If contractual holding times were exceeded, document in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance.
		The data reviewer must note in the Data Assessment <u>whether</u> <u>or not</u> technical and contractual holding times were met.
3.0 <u>I</u>	Deutera	ted Monitoring Compound Recovery (Form II LCSV)
		Are the Low Concentration Semivolatile Deuterated Monitoring Compound Recovery Summaries (Form II LCSV-1 and LCSV-2) present and complete for all samples?
	ACTION	I: Ask the TOPO to obtain explanations/resubmittals of any missing deliverables from the laboratory. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document the effect in the Data Assessment.
	3.2	Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk? []
	ACTION	I: Circle all outliers in red.
	3.3	Were more than four, two from each fraction, of

the sixteen (16) Deuterated Monitoring Compounds

USEPA Region II	Date:	July	, 20	01
Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2	SOP HW	-13,	Revisi	on 3
s))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) · · ·) NO	N/A
(DMC's) recoveries outside their correspond limits?	ding			
If yes, were samples reanalyzed?				
Were method blanks reanalyzed?		[]		
TOTTOM TO DVO '	/ G			

ACTION: If any DMC is outside the required limits(See Table below), qualify their associated target compounds (See Table below) as follows:

SEMIVOLATILE DMC AND THEIR ASSOCIATED TARGET COMPOUNDS

Phenol-d5	2-Chlorophenol-d4	2-Nitrophenol-d4
Benzaldehyde Phenol	2-Chlorophenol	Isophorone 2-Nitrophenol
bis-(2- Chloroethyl)ether-	4-Methylphenol-d8	4-Chloroaniline-d4
bis-(2- Chloroethyl)ether 2,2'-oxybis(1- Chloropropane) bis(2- Chloroethoxy)metha ne	2-Methylphenol 4-Methylphenol 2,4-Dimethylphenol	4-Chloroaniline Hexachlorocyclo- pentadiene 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine
Nitrobenzene-d5	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	Dimethylphtalate-d6
Acetophenone N-Nitroso-di-n- propylamine Hexachloroethane Nitrobenzene 2,6-Dinitrotoluene 2,4-Dinitrotoluene N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	2,4-Dichlorophenol Hexachlorobutadiene 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol 1,2,4,5- Tetrachlorobenzene Pentachlorophenol	Caprolactam 1,1'-Biphenyl Dimethylphthalate Diethylphthalate Di-n-butylphthalate Butylbenzylphthalate bis(2- Ethylhexyl)phthala te Di-n-octylphthalate

Fluorene-d10	Anthracene-d10	Pyrene-d10
Dibenzofuran Fluorene 4-Chlorophenyl- phenylether 4-Bromophenyl- phenylether	Hexachlorobenzene Atrazine Phenanthrene Anthracene	Fluoranthene Pyrene Benzo(a)anthracene Chrysene
Acenaphthylene-d8	4-Nitrophenol-d4	Benzo(a)pyrene-d12
Naphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene	2-Nitroaniline 3-Nitroaniline 2,4-Dinitrophenol 4-nitrophenol 4-Nitroaniline	Benzo(b) fluoranthene benzo(k) fluoranthene Benzo(a) pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene Benzo(g,h,i) perylene
4,6-Dinitro-2- methylphenol-d2		
4,6-Dinitro-2- methylphenol		

SEMIVOLATILE DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUND LIMITS

COMPOUND	% RECOVERY
Phenol-d5	10-110
bis-(2-Chloroethyl)ether-d8	41-94
2-Chlorophenol-d4	33-110
4-Methylphenol-d8	38-95
Nitrobenzene-d5	35-114
2-Nitrophenol-d4	40-106
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	42-98
4-Chloroaniline-d4	8-70

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

USEPA Region II Date: July , 2001
Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3
S))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
YES NO N/A

Dimethylphthalate-d6	62-102
Acenaphthylene-d8	49-98
4-Nitrophenol-d4	9-181
Fluorene-d10	50-97
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	53-153
Anthracene-d10	55-116
Pyrene-d10	47-114
Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	54-120

3.5 Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II? ____ [] ____

ACTION: .If large errors exist, ask the TOPO to obtain an explanation/resubmittal from the lab, make any necessary corrections and note errors in the Data Assessment.

ACTION: 1. For any recovery greater than the upper limit:

- a. Qualify "J" all positive associated target compounds
- b. Do not qualify associated non-detects.
- 2. For any recovery less than the lower limit:
 - a. Qualify "J" all positive associated target compounds
 - b. Qualify "UJ" all non-detects if recoveries are ≥10% except for 4-Chloroaniline-d4 and 4-Nitrophenol-d4.
 - c. Qualify "R" all non-detects if recoveries are < 10% except for 4-Chloroaniline-d4 and 4-Nitrophenol-d4.
 - d. For 4-Chloroaniline-d4 and 4-Nitrophenol-d4
 qualify "R" all non-detects if recoveries are less
 than their lower limit.

NOTE: Up to four DMC's (two per fraction) per sample may fail to meet the recovery limits (SOW OLC03.2, sec. 11.6.4, p. D-34/SV). As per SOW, any sample that fails the technical criteria, must be reanalyzed (sec. 11.7.4 p. D-35/SV).

ACTION: Note in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/

Meth		P/SOW, OLC03.2		-13, Re	, 20 visi	
S)))))))))))))))))))))))) · ·	NO	N/A
		Non-Compliance if he Lab did not perform a	reanal	ysis.		
4.0	Labora	tory MS/MSD (Form III LCSV)				
	4.1	Is the Semivolatile MS/MSD Recovery Form (Fo III LCSV) present?	orm			
	4.2	Was the MS/MSD analyzed at the required free (once per SDG, or every 20 samples)?	quency			
	ACTIO	N: If any MS/MSD data are missing, take action specified in 3.1 above.	on as			
	ACTION	N: No action is taken on MS/MSD <u>alone</u> . However professional judgement, the Validator may and MSD results in conjunction with other and determine the need for some qualificated data.	use t QC cr	he MS iteria		
5.0	Blanks	(Form IV LCSV)				
	5.1	Is the Method Blank Summary Form (Form IV LO present?	CSV)			
	5.2	Frequency of Analysis: For the analysis of I Concentration semivolatile TCL compounds, had method blank been analyzed and reported for SDG, every 20 samples or each extraction bat whichever is more frequent?	as a each			
	5.3	Was a Low Concentration semivolatile method analyzed for each GC/MS system used? (See Spage D-36/SV, section 12.1.2.2)				
	ACTION	N: If any method blank data are missing, ask obtain an explanation/resubmittal from the If method blank data is unavailable, reject associated positive results. However, the reviewer may, based on professional judger substitute field blank data for missing medata.	e labo ct (R) e data ment,	ratory all		
	5.4	The validator should verify that the correct identification scheme for EPA blanks was use page B-30, section 3.3.7.3 for more informat	ed. (See SOI	V	

Meth		P/SOW, OLC03.2	SOP H	July W-13, R	-	
S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) · ·	NO	N/A
		Was the correct identification scheme used all Low Concentration Semivolatile blanks?	for			
	ACTIO:	N: Contact the TOPO to obtain corrections for make the necessary corrections. Docum "Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section Assessment all corrections made by the value."	ment i n of t	n the he Data		
	5.5	Chromatography: Review the blank raw data chromatograms (RICs), quant reports or data system printouts and spectra. Is the chromatographic performance (baseline stable acceptable for each instrument?	a			
	ACTIO:	N: Use professional judgement to determine the data.	the ef	fect on		
	5.6	Are all detected hits for target compounds than the CRQL for that analyte in all method blanks?				
		<pre>Exception: Phthalate esters must be less th (5X) the CRQL.</pre>	nan fi	ve time	S	
6.0	<u>Contam</u>	ination				
	NOTE:	"Water blanks", "drill blanks" and "distill blanks" are validated like any other sample used to qualify the data. Do not confuse to other QC blanks discussed below.	e and	are <u>not</u>		
	6.1	Do any method blanks have positive results and/or TICs) for Low Concentration Semivola		?		
	6.2	Do any field/rinse blanks have positive restor Low Concentration Semivolatiles (TCL arTIC)?		_		
	ACTIO:	N: Prepare a list of the samples associated the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separ				
	NOTE:	All field blank results associated with a profession of samples (may exceed one per case) must be qualify data. Blanks may not be qualified	oe use	d to	oup	

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

USEPA Region II Date: July , 2001
Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3
S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
YES NO N/A

contamination in another blank. Field blanks must be qualified for surrogate, spectral, instrument performance or calibration QC problems.

ACTION: Follow the directions in the table below to qualify TCL results due to contamination. Use the largest value from all the associated blanks. If gross contamination exists, all data in the associated samples should be qualified as unusable (R).

NOTE: When applied as described below, the contaminant concentration in these blanks is multiplied by the sample dilution factor.

For:	Flag sample result with a "U" when:	Report CRQL & qualify "U" when:	No qualification needed when:
Common Pthalate- Esters	Sample conc. is > CRQL, but < 5x blank value.	Sample conc. is < CRQL and < 5x blank value.	Sample conc. is > CRQL and > 5x blank value.
Other Conta- minants	Sample conc. is > CRQL, but < 1x blank value.	Sample conc. is < CRQL and < 1x blank value.	Sample conc. is > CRQL and > 1x blank value.

NOTE: Analytes qualified "U" for blank contamination are still treated as "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

ACTION: For TIC compounds, if the concentration in the sample is less than five times the concentration in the most contaminated associated blank, flag the sample data "R", unusable.

6.3 Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample? []

ACTION: Note in the Data Assessment that there is no associated field/rinse/equipment blank.

Exception: samples taken from a drinking water tap do
not have associated field blanks.

Meth		P/SOW, OLC03.2	SOP H	July W-13, R	-	
S))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) · · ·	NO	N/A
7.0	GC/MS	Instrument Performance Check (Form V LCSV)				
	7.1	Are the GC/MS Instrument Performance Check (Form V LCSV) for Decafluorotriphenylphosph (DFTPP) present?				
	7.2	Are the enhanced bar graph spectrum and mass/charge (m/z) listing for the DFTPP profor each twelve hour shift?	vided			
	7.3	Has an instrument performance check solution analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analyses per instrument?	n bee	n <u>[</u>]		
	ACTIO	N: List samples, date, time and instrument I no associated GC/MS tuning data are avail				
	SAMPLI	E ID DATE TIME INSTRUMENT	ID			
	ACTIO	N: If lab cannot provide missing data, reject data generated outside an acceptable twel calibration interval.				
	7.4	Have the ion abundances been normalized to 198?	m/z	11		
	NOTE:	All ion abundance ratios must be normalized the nominal base peak, even though the ion m/z 442 may up to 110% that of m/z 198.				
	ACTIO	N: If mass assignment is in error, flag all sample data as unusable (R).	assoc	iated		
	7.5	Have the ion abundance criteria been met fo instrument used?	r eac	h <u> </u>		
	ACTIO	N: If ion abundance criteria are not met, pr	ofess	ional		

Metho			: July , 2001 HW-13, Revision 3
			YES NO N/A
		Judgement may be applied to determine to what the data may be utilized.	extent
	7.6	Are there any transcription/calculation errors between mass lists and Form Vs? (Check at leas two values but if errors are found, check more.	
	7.7	Is the number of significant figures for the reported relative abundances consistent with the number given for each ion in the ion abundance criteria column on Form V LCSV?	e <u> </u>
ACTIO	N:	If large errors exist, notify the TOPO to obtain explanation/resubmittal, make necessary correct document effect in data assessments.	
	7.8	Is the spectrum of the mass calibration compound acceptable?	d <u> </u>
	ACTION	N: Use professional judgement to determine whether associated data should be accepted, qualified rejected.	
8.0 <u>T</u>	arget	Compound List (TCL) Analytes (Form I LCSV)	
	8.1	Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form I Lepresent with required header information on each each of the following:	
		a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate?	<u> </u>
		b. Laboratory Control/MS/MSD Samples?	<u> </u>
		c. Blanks?	<u> </u>
	8.2	Are the Low Concentration Semivolatile reconstruction chromatograms, the mass spectra for the identificompounds, and the data system printouts (Quant included in the sample package for each of the	ied Reports)
		a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate?	Ш — —
		b. Laboratory Control Sample(s) and MS/MSD?	

USEPA Region II Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2		Date: July SOP HW-13,		
S))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) · · · · · ^{YES}) NO	N/A
c. Blanks				
ACTION: If any data are mi 3.1 above.	ssing, take action as s	specified in		
8.3 Is chromatographic p	erformance acceptable w	ith respect	to:	
Baseline stability?		<u> </u>		
Resolution?				
Peak shape?				
Full-scale graph (at	tenuation)?			
Other:	?			
ACTION: Use professional j acceptability of t		the		
	ed standard mass spectra entration semivolatile or each sample?	of <u>[]</u>		
standard spectra,	lab does not generate t make note in "Contract iance". If spectra are	their own	ed	
	eported compound within the standard RRT in the on?			
spectrum at a relati	in the standard mass we intensity greater the sample mass spectrum?	nan 10%		
8.7 Do sample and standa agree within $\pm 20\%$?	rd relative ion intensi	ties <u>Ll</u>		
incorrect identifi	udgement to determine the data. If it is detected cations were made, all (R) flagged "N" (Presu	ermined that such data		

Meth		P/SOW, OLC03.2	te: July OP HW-13, Re	-	
s))))))))))))))))))))) · · · · · · ·	NO	N/A
		evidence of the presence of the compound) of to not detected (U) at the calculated detection order to be positively identified, the comply with the qualitative identification listed in SOW section 11.1, page D-29/SV.	ction limit. Nata must		
	ACTION	When sample carry-over is a possibility, pre- judgement should be used to determine if in cross-contamination has affected any positi- identification.	strument	ì	
9.0	<u> Tentati</u>	vely Identified Compounds (TIC)			
	9.1	Are all Tentatively Identified Compound Forms (Form I LCSV-TIC) present; and do listed TICs include scan number or retention time, estimated concentration and "JN" qualifier?	3		
	9.2	Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identicompounds and associated "best match" spectra the sample package for each of the following	a included i	.n	
		a. Samples and/or fractions as appropriate?			
		b. Blanks?			
	ACTION	N: If any TIC data are missing, take action sp 3.1 above.	ecified in		
	ACTION	N: Add "JN" qualifier to all chemically named	TICs.		
	9.3	Are any TCL compounds (from any fraction) list as TIC compounds (example: 1,2- dimethylbenze is xylene a VOA TCL and should not be reported a TIC)?	ene		
	ACTION	I: Flag "R" only TCL compound detected in anot fraction. (Except blank contamination)	her		
	9.4	Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	[]		

Metho		on II ?/sow, olc03.2))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	Date: July , 2001 SOP HW-13, Revision 3))))))))))))
	9.5	Do TIC and "best match" standard relative intensities agree within $\pm 20\%$?	ion <u>[]</u>
	ACTION	N: Use professional judgement to determine to acceptability of TIC identifications. It determined that an incorrect identification change identification to "unknown" or to specific identification (example: "C3 subbenzene") as appropriate. In order to be identified, the data must comply with the listed in SOW section 11.2, page D-30/SV	f it is ion was made, some less ostituted e positively e criteria
		Also, when a compound is not found in any is a suspected artifact of a common labor contaminant, the result should be qualify unusable (R). Common lab contaminants compreservatives, such as Cyclohexene. Relatinglude Cyclohexanone, Cyclohexanol, Chlorand Chlorocyclohexanol. Aldol reaction princlude 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone, 2-2-one, and 5,5-dimethyl-2-(5H)-furanone.	ratory ied as ald be solvent ted by-products procyclohexene roducts of Acetone 4-methyl-2-penten-
10.0	Compou	and Quantitation and Reported Detection Limi	<u>its</u>
	10.1	Are there any transcription/calculation errorm I results? Check at least two positive values. Verify that the correct internal standard, quantitation ion, and RRF were us calculate Form I result. Were any errors	ve sed to
	10.2	Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions?	<u> </u>
	ACTION	N: If errors are large, notify the TOPO to a explanation/resubmittal, make any necessary corrections and document effect in data a	ary
	ACTION	When a sample is analyzed at more than or the lowest CRQLs are used (unless a QC ex dictates the use of the higher CRQL data diluted sample analysis). Replace concer exceed the calibration range in the original by crossing out the "E" and it's associate the original Form I and substituting the analysis of the diluted sample. Specify	xceedance from the ntrations that inal analysis ted value on data from the

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE USEPA Region II Date: July , 2001 Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3 N/Ais to be used, then draw a red " X" across the entire page of all Form I's that should not be used, including any in the summary package. 11.0 Standards Data (GC/MS) 11.1 Are the Reconstructed Ion Chromatograms, and data system printouts (Quant, Reports) present for initial and continuing calibration? ACTION: If any calibration standard data are missing, take action specified in 3.1 above. 12.0 GC/MS Initial Calibration (Form VI LCSV) 12.1 Are the Initial Calibration Forms (Form VI LCSV-1 & -2) present and complete for the Low Concentration Semivolatile fraction at concentrations of 5, 10, 20, 50 and 80 ug/1? [] NOTE: Seven compounds, 2,4-Dinitrophenol, 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol 2-Nitroaniline, 3-Nitroaniline, 4-Nitroaniline 4-Nitrophenol, 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol, require calibration at 20, 50, 80, 100 and 120 ug/l.

ACTION: If any calibration standard forms are missing, take action specified in 3.1 above.

NOTE: There are nineteen (19) semivolatile compounds (see Table below) which are poor performers. The RRF for these compounds must be greater than or equal to 0.01 The %RSD must be less than or equal to 50%. The %RSD must be less than or equal to 30% for 2,4-Dinitrotoluene, 2-Nitrophenol, and 2,4-Dimethylphenol, and less than or equal to 20.5% for all other compounds and DMC's.

SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS WITH POOR RESPONSE

SEMIVOLATILE COMPOUNDS

USEPA Region II	Date: July	, 2001
Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2		Revision 3
s))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) S NO N/A
	YE.	S NO N/A

2,2'oxybis(1-Chloropropane)	Benzaldehyde
4-Chloroaniline	Pentachlorophenol
Hexachlorobutadiene	4-Nitroaniline
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol
2-nitroaniline	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine
3-nitroaniline	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine
2,4-Dinitrophenol	4-Chloroaniline-d4 (DMC)
4-Nitrophenol	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2 (DMC)
Acetophenone	4-Nitrophenol-d4 (DMC)
Caprolactam	

12.2	Are response factors stable (%RSD # 20.5, # 50	
	for poor performers and # 30 for 2,4-	
	Dinitrotoluene, 2-Nitrophenol, and 2,4-	
	Dimethylphenol) for Semivolatiles over the entire	
	concentration range of the calibration?	

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

NOTE: Although 24 Low Concentration semivolatile compounds have a minimum RRF and no maximum %RSD, the technical acceptance criteria are the same for all analytes.

ACTION: If the %RSD exceeds the above criteria, qualify positive results for that analyte "J" and non-detects using professional judgement. When %RSD > 90%, flag all non-detects for that analyte "R", and positive hits as "J".

NOTE: Analytes previously qualified "U" due to blank contamination are still considered as "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

12.3 Are any RRFs < 0.05, < 0.01 for poor performers? ___ [] ___

ACTION: Circle all outliers in red.

ia+ha	A Regional Region (No. 1914)		Date: July , 20 SOP HW-13, Revisi ())))))))) YES NO	
	ACTION	N: If any RRF $<$ 0.05, or $<$ 0.01 for poor per	formers:	
		1. Flag "R" all non-detects.		
		2. Flag "J" all positive results.		
	12.4	Are there any transcription/calculation err the reporting of, RRFs, RRFs or % RSD value (Check at least two values but if errors ar found, check more.)	s?	
	ACTION	N: If errors are large, take action as speci section 3.1 above.	fied in	
	NOTE:	Contract Requirements: The SOW allows up to 9.3.5.4, p. D-21/SV) of the required analyte contractual %RSD or RRF criteria, provided 40.0 and RRF is \$ 0.010. (See Table D-4, p and analytes marked with a "*" on Form VI L of required analytes and contractual criter	es to fail the %RSD is # age D-48, 49/SV CSV for a list	
	ACTION	N: If more than four analytes fail %RSD or R document in the Data Assessment under Con Problems/Non-Compliance.		
3.0	GC/MS	Continuing Calibration (Form VII LCSV)		
	13.1	Are the Continuing Calibration Forms (Form LCSV-1 & -2) present and complete for the semivolatile fraction?	IIV	
	13.2	Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for every twelve hours of sample analysis per instrument?		
	ACTION	N: List below all sample analyses that were twelve hours of a continuing calibration each instrument used.		

ACTION: If any forms are missing or no continuing calibration standard has been analyzed within twelve hours of

Metho		P/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Rev	rision	
		every sample analysis, notify the TOPO to obtain explanation/resubmittals. If continuing calibration data are not available, flag all associated sample data as unusable (R).		
	13.3	Do any semiv <u>ola</u> tile compounds have a %D between the initial RRF and continuing RRF which exceeds the ± 25.0% criteria?	_1 _	
	ACTION	N: Circle all outliers in red.		
	ACTION	N: Qualify both positive results and non-detects for the outlier compound(s) as estimated (J). When %D is > 90%, reject all non-detects for that analyte (R) unusable and positive results "J".		
	13.4	Do any semivolatile compounds have a RRF < 0.05, <0.01 for the poor performers?	_1	
	ACTION	N: Circle all outliers with red pencil.		
	ACTION	N: If the RRF is < 0.05 , < 0.01 for the poor performers, qualify associated positive results estimated (J) and non-detects unusable (R).		
	13.5	Are there any transcription/calculation errors in the reporting of continuing RRFs or %D between initial RRFs and continuing RRFs? (Check at least two values, but if errors are found check more.)	_1	
	ACTION	N: Circle errors with red pencil.		
	ACTION	N: If errors are large, notify the TOPO to obtain explanation/resubmittals, make any necessary corrections and document the effect in the data assessment.		
14.0	Interr	nal Standards (Form VIII LCSV)		
	14.1	Are the Internal Standard Area and RT Summary Forms (Form VIII LCSV-1 & -2) present and complete for the semivolatile fraction? []		

STANDARD	OPERATING	PROCEDURE			

USEPA Region II	Date: July , 2001
Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2	SOP HW-13, Revision 3
\$)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) YES NO N/A
14.2 Are the internal standard areas for ever and blank within the upper and lower lin to +100%) for each continuing calibration	mits (-50%
ACTION: Circle errors with red pencil.	
ACTION: List all the outliers below.	
Sample # Internal Std Area Lower La	imit Upper Limit

- ACTION: 1. If the internal standard area count is outside the upper or lower limit, flag all positive results and non-detects quantitated with this internal standard "J" and "UJ", respectively.
 - 2. Do not qualify non-detects associated with IS areas > 100%.
 - 3. If the IS area is < 50%, qualify all associated non-detects estimated "R".

INTERNAL STANDARDS ACTIONS FOR SEMIVOLATILES

CRITERIA	ACTION			
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds		
Area counts > 100% of 12-hour standard	" J"	No Action		
Area counts < 50% of 12-hour standard	" J"	"R"		

14.3	Are the retention	times of the internal standards	
	within 20 seconds	of the associated calibration	
	standard?		[]

USEPA Region II Date: July , 2001 Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3 S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) YES NO N/A

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

ACTION: Professional judgement should be used to qualify data if the retention times differ by more than 20 seconds.

15.0 Field Duplicates

15.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for Low Concentration semivolatile analysis?

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. If large differences exist, contact the TOPO to confirm identification of field duplicates with the sampler.

	STANDA	ARD OPERATING	G PROCEDURE			
	n II 'SOW, OLC03.2))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	Date: July SOP HW-13, R)))))))))))) 	•	
	PART C	: PESTICIDE/	AROCLOR ANALY	<u>YSIS</u>		
1.0 <u>Sample C</u>	Conditions/Problem	<u>18</u>				
s r p	Oo Traffic Reports SDG Narrative indi seceipt, condition problems or specia quality of the dat	cate any pro of the samp ol circumstan	blems with sales, analytic	ample cal		
ACTION:	If samples were arrival to the l cooler was > 10° all non-detects	aboratory, a	nd the tempe:	rature of the		
	Check extraction needed, it shoul Narrative. If m TOPO to contact	d have been ore informat	noted in the	SDG		
d	Times Have any Pest/Aroc determined from da extraction, been e	te of collec	_		Ш_	
e b	Cechnical Holding extraction of samp pegin within seven analyzed within 40	oles for Pest days of col	icide/Aroclo: lection. Ext	r analysis mu		
			Time Violation stody record:			
Sample ID	Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed		

	A Regional CL	on II Date: July , 2001 P/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3
S))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
	ACTIO	N: If technical holding times were exceeded, flag all positive results as estimated (J) and sample quantitation limits (UJ) and document in the Data Assessment that holding times were exceeded. If analyses were done more than 14 days beyond holding time, either on the first analysis or upon re-analysis, the reviewer must use professional judgement to determine the reliability of the data and the effects of additional storage on the sample results. At a minimum, all the data should at least be qualified "J", but the reviewer may determine that non-detects are unusable (R).
	NOTE:	<u>Contractual Holding Times</u> : Extraction of water samples must begin within 5 days VTSR. All laboratory extracts must be analyzed within 40 days of the VTSR.
	ACTIO	N: If contractual holding times were exceeded, document in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance.
3.0	Surroga	ate Recovery (Form II LCP)
	3.1	Are the Low Concentration Semivolatile Surrogate Recovery Summaries (Form II LCSV) present and complete for all samples? []
	ACTIO	N: Notify the TOPO that explanation/resubmittals are required from the laboratory. If missing deliverables are unavailable, document effect in data assessments.
	3.2	Were outliers marked correctly with an asterisk? []
	ACTIO	N: Circle all outliers with red pencil.
	3.3	Were surrogate recoveries of TCX or DCB in any sample or blank outside of the contractual limits of 30 - 150%?

ACTION: If <u>either</u> surrogate spike recovery is outside the acceptance limits, the Validator must consider the existence of coelution and interference in the raw data and use professional judgement as described below, as surrogate recovery problems may not directly apply to target analytes.

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE .

- 1. For any surrogate recovery greater than 150%:
 - a. Qualify positive hits as estimated "J".
 - b. Do not qualify Non-detects.
- 2. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10%, but less than 30%.
 - a. Qualify positive hits as estimated "J".
 - b. Qualify Non-detects as "UJ".
- 3. For any surrogate recovery less than 10%, ignoring dilutions, and in the absence of interference
 - a. Qualify positive hits as estimated "J".
 - b. Qualify Non-detects as unusable "R".

Surrogate Actions for Pest/PCB Analyses

Criteria	Action *			
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds		
%R > 150%	" J"	No qualification		
10% ≤%R < 30%	" J"	" UJ"		
%R < 10% (ignore dil's)	" J"	"R"		
RT out of RT window	Professional Judgement			

^{*} Use professional judgement in qualifying data as surrogate recovery problems may not directly apply to target analytes.

Pesticides Surrogates and Associated Target Compounds

Tetrachloro-m-Xylene	Decachlorobiphenyl		
alpha-BHC	alpha-Chlordane	4,4'-DDE	
beta-BHC	gamma-Chlordane	4,4'-DDT	
gamma-BHC	Heptachlor epoxide	Endosulfan I	
delta-BHC	Dieldrin	Endosulfan II	
Heptachlor	Endrin	Endosulfan ulfate	
Aldrin	Endrin Aldehyde	Methoxychlor	
	Endrin ketone	Aroclors	
	4,4'-DDD	Toxaphene	

3.4	Were surrogate retention times (RT) within the windows established during the initial 3-point analysis of Individual Standard Mixture A (See Form VI LCP-1)?	<u> </u>
ACTIO:	N: If the RT limits are not met, positive results and non-detects may be qualified unusable (R) for that sample based on professional judgement.	
3.5	Are there any transcription/calculation errors between raw data and Form II?	_ []
ACTIO	N: If large errors exist, notify the TOPO to obtain	

corrections and document effect in data assessments.

explanation/resubmittals. Make any necessary

USEPA Region II	Dat	e: J	uly	, 20	01	
Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2	SOP	HW-	13,	Revisi	Lon	3
s)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) NO	N/	, v
			IEO	NO	TA /	А

4.0 Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

- 4.1 Is the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Recovery Form (Form III LCP-2) present?

 [] _____
- 4.2 Was the LCS analyzed at the required frequency (once per SDG, or every 20 samples) for the Low Concentration Pest/Aroclor method?

ACTION: If any LCS data are missing, take action as specified in 3.1 above.

4.3 How many PEST spike recoveries (see Table below) are outside QC limits listed in Table D-3, page D-61/PEST of the SOW?

Pesticides Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) spike compounds and limits.

LCS Spike Compound	Recovery Limits (%)	LCS Spike Compound	Recovery Limits (%)
gamma-BHC	50-120	Endosulfan sulfate	50-120
Heptachlor epoxide	50-150	gamma-Chlordane	30-130
Dieldrin	30-130	TMX (Surrogate)	30-150
4,4'-DDE	50-150	DCB (Surrogate)	30-150
Endrin	50-120		

ACTION: Check calculations, surrogates, LCS solutions and instrument performance.

ACTION: Qualify only the <u>specific analytes</u> included in the LCS solution in the following two situations:

1. If the LCS recovery is greater than the upper QC limit, qualify positive results for the affected

	A Regio			_	, 20	
		e/sow, olc03.2))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))		•	Revisi	on 3
3))))	',,,,,,,,,		,,,,,, 	YES	NO	N/A
		compound(s) estimated (J). Do not quali	fy no	on-det	ects.	
		<pre>2.If the LCS recovery is less than the lowe then qualify positive results for the aff compound(s) estimated (J) and non-detects u (R).</pre>	ected	Ĺ	- 1	
		Qualify all sample results in the following	sitı	atior	ns	
		 If 25% or more of the analyte recoveries QC limits qualify all associated positiv "J" and non-detects "R". 			J	
		 If two or more analytes exhibit < 10% re qualify all associated positive results non-detects "R". 				
		It should be noted in the Data assessment i laboratory fails to analyze an LCS with eac consistently fails to generate acceptable L recoveries.	h SDO	G, or		
5.0	<u>Laborat</u>	cory MS/MSD (Form III LCP-1)				
	5.1	Is the Pest/PCB MS/MSD Recovery Form (Form III LCP-1) present?				
	5.2	Was the MS/MSD analyzed at the required frequ (Once per SDG, or every 20 samples?	ency			
	ACTION	N: If any MS/MSD data are missing, take action Specified in 3.1 above.	as			
	ACTION	N: No action is taken on MS/MSD <u>alone</u> . However professional judgement, the Validator may u and MSD results in conjunction with other Q and determine the need for some qualificati of the data.	se th C cri	ne MS	ì	
6.0	Blanks	(Form IV LCP)				
		Is the Method Blank Summary (Form IV LCP) present?		1		

USEPA Region II Date: 5 Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-	-13, R	, 20 evisi	
\$))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	NO	N/A
Frequency of Analysis: For the analysis of Pesticide/Aroclor TCL compounds, has a method blank been analyzed concurrently for each SDG, every 20 samples or each extraction batch, whichever is more frequent?			
ACTION: If any blank data are missing, take action as specified in section 3.1 above. If blank data is unavailable, using professional judgement, the reviewer may substitute field blank data for missing method blank data.	data		
6.3 A separate Form IV LCP should be present if just part of an extraction batch required sulfur removal. In such cases some samples will be listed on two blank summary forms - once under the method blank, and once under the sulfur clean-up blank (PCBLK). Was this additional blank raw data and Form IV LCP submitted when required?			
ACTION: If sulfur clean-up blank data and Form IV are mi take action as specified in 3.1 above.	issing	,	
6.4 Has a Pest/Aroclor instrument blank been analyzed at the beginning of every 12 hr. period following the initial calibration sequence (minimum contract requirement)?			
ACTION: If any blank data are missing, take action as specified in section 3.1 above.			
6.5 Was the correct identification scheme used for all Pest/PCB blanks? (See SOW, page B-30, section 3.3.7.3 for further details.)			
ACTION: Contact the TOPO to obtain resubmittals or make required corrections on the forms. Document in Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compall corrections made by the validator.	the	0	
6.6 <u>Chromatography</u> : Review the blank raw data - chromatograms, quant reports or data system printouts. Is the chromatographic performance			

Meth		P/SOW, OLC03.2	Date: July SOP HW-13, Re	-	
5)))))))))))))))))))) 	NO	N/A
		(baseline stability) for each instrument acceptable for Pest/PCBs?			
	ACTION	N: Use professional judgement to determine t the data.	the effect on		
7.0	Contam	<u>ination</u>			
	NOTE:	"Water blanks", "distilled water blanks" are water blanks" are validated like any other not used to qualify the data. Do not confuthe other QC blanks discussed below.	sample and ar	е	
	7.1	Do any method/instrument/cleanup blanks have positive results for Pest/Aroclors?	7e 	[]	
	7.2	If any method, instrument and/or sulfur cleblanks contain "hits" for target compounds, these hits greater than the CRQL for that analyte?			
	ACTION	N: Note in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance if any method, in sulfur clean-up blank(s) contain hit(s) a concentration(s) greater than the CRQL for analyte.	nstrument or at		
	7.3	Do any field/rinse blanks have positive Pest/Aroclor results?			
	ACTION	N: Prepare a list of the samples associated the contaminated blanks. (Attach a separ			
	NOTE:	All field blank results associated to a part of samples (may exceed one per case or one used to qualify data. Blanks may not be quof contamination in another blank. Field k qualified for surrogate, or calibration QC	per day) may ualified becau planks must be	be se	
	ACTION	N: Follow the directions in the table below TCL results due to contamination. Use the			

value from all the associated blanks.

Meth		P/SOW, OLC03.2		Date: July , 2001 SOP HW-13, Revision 3)))))))))))))) YES NO N/A
	NOTE:		described below, the con n these blanks are multip	
	_	sample result a "U":	Report CRQL & qualify "U":	No qualification is needed:
	_	e conc. > CRQL, 1x blank.	Sample conc. < CRQL & is < 1x blank value.	Sample conc. > CRQL & > 1x blank value.
	NOTE:		contamination exists, all les should be qualified a	
	7.4	Are there field, with every sampl	rinse/equipment blanks a e?	ussociated
	ACTIO	field/rinse/ed	Assessment that there is quipment blank. Exception water tap do not have	on: samples taken
8.0	Calibr	ation and GC Peri	<u>Formance</u>	
	8.1		ng gas chromatograms and oth columns present for a	-
		a. Peak Resoluti	on Check?	Ш
		b. PEM standards	3?	Ш
		c. Aroclor 1016/	1260?	Ш
		d. Aroclors 1221	., 1232, 1242, 1248, 1254	? <u> </u>
		e. Toxaphene?		<u> </u>
		f. Low points Ir	ndividual Mixtures A & B?	<u> </u>
		g. Med points Ir	ndividual Mixtures A & B?	

Method: CLI	USEPA Region II Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2 S))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))			2001 sion 3
3))))))))		· · · · ·	ES NO	N/A
	h. High points Individual Mixtures A & B?	Т	1 _	
	i. Instrument blanks?	1	_1	
	<pre>j. Were appropriate GC columns used (see SG page D-10/PEST, section 6.10.1.3)?</pre>	, WC	_1	
ACTION	N: If no, take action as specified in 3.1 ak	oove.		
8.2	Do chromatograms for all initial calibratic standards (Resolution Check Mixtures, Individual Standard Mixtures A & B and PEM) display scomponent peaks at > 10% but < 100% of full scale?	vidual ingle	1	
	Do chromatograms for multi-component standadisplay all peaks between 25% and 100% of scale?		1 _	
	Were chromatograms for at least one each of Standard Mixtures A & B replotted to displastandard peaks between 50% and 100% of full scale?	ay	<u> </u>	
	Have chromatograms for the above standards replotted, when necessary, showing the scal factor used to meet the above requirements?	ling	<u> </u>	
NOTE:	All standard chromatograms must clearly discomponent peaks at > 10% but < 100% of full multi-component peaks between 25% and 100% At least one analysis each of Standard Mixedisplay standard peaks between 50% and 100% Chromatograms must be replotted, if necessal accommodate peaks not properly scaled initial initial and replotted chromatograms must be the data package. (See SOW, page D-25/PESS 9.2.5.10 for details.)	I scale, ar of full so tures A & E % of full s ary, to ially. Bot e submitted	nd cale. B must scale. th the	
ACTION	N: If all single component peaks in all star chromatograms are not clearly displayed a scaled, notify the TOPO to obtain resubma	and properl		

necessary data.

	on II P/SOW, OLC03.2	Date: July , 2001 SOP HW-13, Revision 3
8.3	Are Forms VI LCP-1 through VI LCP-7 present complete for each column and each analytica sequence?	
ACTIO	N: If no, take action specified in 3.1 above	
8.4	Are there any transcription/calculation err between raw data and Forms VI LCP?	ors <u> </u>
ACTIO:	N: If large errors exist, notify the TOPO to explanation/resubmittals, make necessary and document the effect in data assessmen	corrections
8.5	Do all standard retention times, for each pesticide in each level of Individual Mixtu & B, fall within the windows established du the initial calibration sequence (see Form LCP-1)?	ıring
ACTIO	N: If no, all samples in the entire analytic are potentially affected. Check to see i chromatograms contain peaks within an exp surrounding the expected retention times. are found and the surrogates are visible, are valid. If peaks are present and cannidentified through pattern recognition or revised RT window, qualify all positive r non-detects as unusable (R). For Aroclor be outside the RT window (Form VI LCP-3), Aroclor may still be identified from the pattern.	If the banded window If no peaks non-detects not be using a results and as, the RT may but the
8.6	Have the linearity criteria been satisfied the initial analyses of Individual Standard Mixtures A & B for both columns (Form VI LC %RSD must be # 25.0 for "- and *-BHC, # 30. the two surrogates and # 20.0 for all other analytes.	l EP-2)? O for
NOTE:	Contractual requirements allow up to two signalytes, except surrogates, to exceed the criteria provided %RSD # 30.0. (See SOW, spage D-25/PEST.) The technical criteria, he	linearity ection 9.2.5.7,

same for all analytes.

	P/SOW, OLC03.2	Date: July SOP HW-13, R	•	
s)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	· · · · · · YES	NO	N/A
ACTION	N: If technical criteria were not met, qual associated positive results generated du entire analytical sequence "J" and all nounce "UJ". If %RSD is > 90, flag all non-detainalyte unusable (R).	ring the on-detects		
ACTION	N: Note in the Contract Problems/Non-Complication of the Data Assessment and the Organic Response Assessment Summary if more than two analythe 20.0 percent limit.	egional Data		
8.7	Is the resolution between each pair of adjects in the Resolution Check Mixture \$ 60 both columns (Form VI LCP-4)?			
ACTION	I: If no, qualify positive results for inad- resolved compounds "J". Use professiona determine if non-detects, which elute in affected by coeluting peaks, should be q (presumptive evidence of presence) or "R	<pre>l judgement t areas ualified "N"</pre>		
8.8	Is Form VI LCP-5 present and complete for PEM standard used for both initial and con calibrations (see SOW page B-45, section 3	<u>tinuing</u>		
ACTION	N: If no, take action as specified in section	on 3.1 above.		
8.9	For each PEM standard, was the resolution a each pair of adjacent peaks \$ 90.0% on both columns?			
ACTION	N: Qualify positive results for compounds no resolved estimated (J). Qualify non-determinates professional judgement.			
8.10	Have Forms VI LCP-6 & -7 been completed fo midpoint Individual Standards A and B used initial calibration?			
	For each standard, was the resolution between each pair of adjacent peaks \$ 90.0% on both columns?			

ACTION: If no, qualify positive results for compounds that were not adequately resolved estimated (J). Use

USEPA Regio	Date: July SOP HW-13, F	-	-	
s))))))))))))))))))))))) · · · · · · ·	NO	N/A
	professional judgement to determine if no which elute in areas affected by co-elutishould be qualified "N" (presumptive evidence) or unusable (R).	ing peaks		
8.11	Is Form VII Pest-1 present and complete for PEM standard analyzed during the analytical sequence for both columns?			
	Was the % breakdown of DDT and Endrin calculusing the equations given on page D-22/PEST 9.2.4.8 in the SOW?			
	Were all pesticides and surrogates in each standard within the RT windows established the Initial Calibration?			
ACTION	N: If no, take action as specified in section	on 3.1 above.		
8.12	Has the individual % breakdown on either co 20.0% for:	olumn exceede	ed	
	4,4'-DDT?			
	Endrin?			
	Has the combined breakdown for 4,4'-DDT and Endrin exceeded 30.0% on either column (rector all PEM analyses)?		<u>[]</u>	
ACTIO	N: 1. If any % breakdown has failed the QC of either PEM in steps 2 and 17 in the <u>ir calibration</u> sequence (SOW, page D-20/E 9.2.3.4) qualify all sample analyses i analytical sequence as described below	<u>nitial</u> PEST, section in the entire		
	2. If any % breakdown has failed the QC of PEM Verification calibration, review of with the samples which followed the lastandard until the next acceptable PEM the data as described below.	data beginnin ast <u>in-contro</u>	ıg	
	a. <u>4,4'-DDT Breakdown</u> : If 4,4'-DDT brea	akdown is		

greater than 20.0%:

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE .

- i. Qualify all positive results for 4,4'-DDT "J".
- ii. Qualify positive results for 4,4'-DDD and/or 4,4'-DDE "J".
- iii. If 4,4'-DDT was not detected, but 4,4'-DDD and/or 4,4'-DDE are detected qualify the quantitation limit for 4,4'-DDT as unusable "R", and qualify positive results for 4,4'-DDD and/or 4,4'-DDE as presumptively present at an approximated quantity "NJ".
- b. <u>Endrin Breakdown</u>: If Endrin breakdown is greater than 20.0%:
- i. Qualify all positive results for Endrin with "J".
- ii. Qualify positive results for Endrin ketone and Endrin aldehyde as estimated "J".
- iii. If Endrin was not detected, but Endrin Aldehyde and/or Endrin ketone are detected, qualify the quantitation limit for Endrin as unusable "R", and qualify positive results for Endrin Aldehyde and/or Endrin ketone as presumptively present at an approximate quantity "NJ".
- c. <u>Combined Breakdown</u>: If the combined 4,4'-DDT and Endrin breakdown is greater than 30.0%:
- i. The validator should consider the degree of individual breakdown of 4,4'-DDT and Endrin and apply qualifiers as described above.
- ACTION: If no, qualify all associated positive results generated during the analytical sequence "J" and sample quantitation limits "UJ".
- NOTE: If the failing PEM is part of the initial calibration, all samples are potentially affected. If the offending standard is a verification calibration, the associated

USEPA Region Method: CLP/S	SOW, OLC03.2	Date: July SOP HW-13, Re	-	
S))))))))))))))))))))))) YES	NO	N/A
	amples are those which followed the last \underline{i} tandard until the next passing standard.	n-control		
	ave all samples been injected within 12 hr n acceptable instrument blank?	s. of		
ACTION:	If no, use professional judgement to dete severity to the effect on data reliability			
	s Form VII LCP-2 present and complete for NDA and INDB calibration verification anal			
ACTION:	If no, take action as specified in section	on 3.1 above.		
	re there any transcription/calculation err etween raw data and Form VII LCP-2?	ors	_[_]	
ACTION:	If large errors exists, notify the TOPO to explanation/resubmittals from the lab are Make any necessary corrections and docume Data Assessment under Contract Problems/N Compliance.	e required. ent in the		
aı tl	o all standard retention times for each IN nd INDB Verification Calibration fall with ne windows established during the initial alibration sequence?			
ACTION:	If no, beginning with the samples which for the last in-control standard, check to see if the chromatograms contain peaks within an exposurrounding the expected retention times. are found and the surrogates are visible, are valid. If peaks are present and cannot identified through pattern recognition or revised RT window, qualify all positive renon-detects as unusable (R).	the banded window If no peaks non-detects be using a		
	re all %D values for INDA and INDB calibra erification compounds \$ -25.0% and # +25.0			
ACTION:	If the %D is outside the ±25.0% range for compound(s), qualify associated positive that compound "J" and non-detects "UJ". "associated samples" are those which foll	results for The	<u>.</u>	

USEPA Region II Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2		: July	-	
s))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	
		· · · YES	Š NO	N/A
<pre>in-control standard up to the next passi containing the analyte(s) in question. 90%, flag all non-detects for that analy (unusable).</pre>	If the	e %D is	; >	
9.0 Analytical Sequence Check (Form VIII LCP)				
9.1 Is Form VIII LCP present and complete for column and each period of analyses?	each			
ACTION: If no, take action specified in 3.1 abov	e.			
9.2 Was the proper analytical sequence followe each initial calibration and subsequent an (see SOW pages D-39 & D-40/PEST)?				
ACTION: If no, use professional judgement to det severity of the effect on the data and quaccordingly. Generally, the effect is nunless the sequence was grossly altered calibration was also out of limits.	ualify eglig:	y ible		
9.3 Were all samples analyzed within a 12 hour period beginning with the injection of an instrument blank and bracketed by acceptab analyses of the proper standards?				
ACTION: If no, use professional judgement to det severity of the effect on the data and quaccordingly. Document in the Data Asses Contract Problems/Non-Compliance.	[ualif	У		
9.4 If a multi-component analyte was detected sample, was a matching multi-component sta (Toxaphene or Aroclors) analyzed within 72 of the sample and within a valid 72-hr. se	ndard hours	S		
NOTE: This standard is for identification purpose Positive results for Aroclors and Toxaphen quantitated from the initial calibration.		ly.		
ACTION: If no, document in the Contract Problems Compliance section of the Data Assessmen Regional Data Assessment Summary.		Organi	_C	

Metho		on II p/SOW, OLC03.2 SOP HW-13, Revision 3)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))
10.0	Cleanu	p Efficiency Verification (Form IX LCP)
	10.1	Is Form IX LCP present and complete for each lot of Florisil Cartridges used? (Florisil cleanup is required for all Pest/Aroclor extracts.)
		Are all samples listed on the Pesticide Florisil Cartridge Check Form?
	ACTION	I: If no, take action specified in 3.1 above. If the data suggests Florisil cleanup was not performed, note in the Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance.
	10.2	Are percent recoveries (% REC) of the pesticide and surrogate compounds used to check the efficiency of the cleanup procedure within QC limits, 80 - 120%, for the Florisil cartridge check?
	ACTION	I: If %REC of one or two TCL compounds is < 80%, qualify positive results "J" and non-detects "UJ" for these compounds.
		If more than two compounds exhibited < 80% recovery, qualify all associated positive results "J" and non-detects "UJ".
		If two or more have %REC < 10%, qualify all positive results "J", and non-detects "R". Use professional judgement to qualify positive results if recoveries are > 120%.
	NOTE:	Sample data should be evaluated for potential interferences if recovery of 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol was > 5% in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check analysis. Note in Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section of reviewer narrative.
11.0	Pestic	cide/Aroclor Identification (Forms X LCP-1 & -2)
	11.1	Are Forms X LCP complete for every sample in which a pesticide and/or Aroclor were detected? []

	CLP/	II SOW, OLC03.2))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))		3, Revisi	
ACT	TION:	If no, take action specified in 3.1 above	.		
11.	a i a	re all sample chromatograms properly scale ttenuated, etc. as required for proper dentification of single and multi-componer nalytes? (See SOW, page D-46/PEST, section 1.3.1 thru 11.3.9.8 for specific details.)	nt ons		
NOT	p c s c	roper verification of Pest/PCB results depegible presentation of the raw data. Singlesticides and all peaks chosen for quantite omponent analytes must appear at less than cale (see SOW). Toxaphene and PCB pattern learly visible to enable comparison with shromatograms.	gle compotation of 100% of must b	nent multi- full	
ACT	TION:	If retention times or apex of peaks cannot verified, or if multi-component peak patt be discerned, contact the TOPO to obtain chromatograms from the lab.	terns can		
11.		re there any transcription/calculation erretween raw data and Forms 10LCA and 10LCB?			
ACT	TION:	If large errors exists, notify the TOPO to explanation/resubmittals from the lab are Make any necessary corrections and docume Data Assessment under Contract Problems/Nand in the Organic Regional Data Assessment	e require ent in th Non-Compl	e iance	
11.	W	re retention times (RT) of sample compound ithin the established RT windows for both nalyses?			
ACT	TION:	Use professional judgement to qualify post results. Qualify as unusable (R) all post which were not confirmed on a second GC of qualify as unusable (R) all positive results within the RT window unless associated stainlarly biased (see Functional Guideling professional judgement to assign an approquantitation limit.	sitive re column. ults not tandards nes). Us	Also are	
11.		s the percent %D calculated for positive sesults on the two columns > 25.0?	sample		

	on II P/SOW, OLC03.2	Date: July , 2003 SOP HW-13, Revision))))))))))))) YES NO	
NOTE:	If %D is > 25.0, lab should have reported "P" qualifier.	results with the	
ACTIO	N: If the reviewer finds neither column sho interference for the positive hits, the flagged as follows:		
	<pre>% Difference 0 - 25% 26 - 70% 71 - 100% > 100% 100 - 200% (Interference detected)* > 50% (Pesticide value is < CRQL)**</pre>	Oualifier None "J" "JN" "R" "JN" "U"	
	* When the reported %D is 100 - 200%, but suspected on either column, qualify the ** When the <u>reported pesticide value</u> is located and qualify "U", undetected.	data with "J".	
NOTE:	For Aroclors, if the %D is > 50%, but the peaks on both columns indicates a specific present, qualify that Aroclor "J".	=	
NOTE:	The lower of the two values is reported or using professional judgement, the reviewer the higher result was more acceptable, the replace the value and indicate the reason in the Data Assessment.	determines that reviewer should	
11.6	Check chromatograms for false negatives (especially the multiple peak compounds To and PCBs). Were there any false negatives		
ACTIO	N: Use professional judgement to decide if should be reported. If the appropriate standards were not analyzed within 72 hr sample(s) in question, qualify the data	Aroclor rs. of the	
	Also note in Data Assessment under Contr Problems/Non-Compliance if the lab fails Aroclor standards when required.		

	LP/SOW, OLC03.2	Date: July , 2001 SOP HW-13, Revision 3				
5))))))))))))))))) YES NO N/A				
12.0 <u>Targ</u>	et Compound List					
12.1	Are the Organic Analysis Data Sheets (Form 1 LCP) present with required header information for each of the following:					
	a. Samples?	<u> </u>				
	b. LCS analyses?	<u> </u>				
	c. Method Blanks?	П — —				
	d. Instrument Blanks?	Ш — —				
	e. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate?					
12.2	Are the chromatograms and quant. reports in sample data package for each of the follows					
	a. Samples?	Ш				
	b. LCS analyses?	Ш				
	c. Method Blanks?	Ш				
	d. Instrument Blanks?	Ш				
	e. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate?	Ш — —				
ACTI	ON: If any data are missing, take action as section 3.1 above.	specified in				
12.3	Is chromatographic performance acceptable w	with respect to:				
	a. Baseline stability?	<u> </u>				
	b. Resolution?	П — —				
	c. Peak shape?	Ш — —				
	d. Full-scale graph attenuation?					

USEPA Region II Method: CLP/SOW, OLC03.2			SOP H	₩-13, E	, 2001 Revision 3	
S)))))))))))))))))))))))))))	NO	N/A
		e. Other:	_?			
	12.4	Were any electropositive displacement (negpeaks) or unusual peaks seen?	gative		11	
	ACTION	N: Use professional judgement to determine acceptability of the data. Address comm System Performance section of the Data A	nents u			
13.0	Compou	and Quantitation and Reported Detection Lim	<u>nits</u>			
	13.1	Are there any transcription/calculation er Form I results? Check at least two positi results. Were any errors found?		n		
	NOTE:	Single-peak pesticide results can be check agreement between quantitative results obt GC columns. Use professional judgement to a large discrepancy indicates the presence interfering compound. If an interfering suspected, the lower of the two values should a qualified as presumptively present at quantity "JN". This necessitates a determent estimated concentration on the confirmation narrative should indicate that the presence interferences has interfered with the evalue second column confirmation.	cained of decide of an compound be an appropriation columns of the	on the e wheth d is report roximat n of armn. The	ner ced ced n	
	13.2	Are the CRQLs adjusted to reflect sample dilutions?				
	ACTION	N: If large errors exist, take action as sp section 3.1 above.	pecifie	d in		
	ACTION	When a sample is analyzed at more than of dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used (unlexceedance dictates the use of the higher the diluted sample). Replace concentrate exceed the calibration range in the original by crossing out the "E" value on the original substituting it with the result from sample. Specify which Form I is to be used "X" across the entire page of all should not be used, including those in the dilution of the sample.	less a (er CRQL) cions when the diagram and the diagram I Form I	s from hich nalysis Form I iluted hen dra	l W	

summary package.

ACTION: Quantitation limits affected by large, off-scale peaks should be qualified as unusable (R). If the interference is on-scale, the reviewer may offer an approximated quantitation limit (UJ) for each affected compound.

NOTE: If a sample required greater than a 10 times dilution, then a 10 times more concentrated analysis must also be performed and submitted (see SOW, page D-41/PEST, section 10.2.3.5).

ACTION: If a more concentrated analysis is unavailable, document in the Contract Problems/Non-Compliance section of the Data Assessment. Use professional judgement to qualify non-detects and positive hits below the CRQL.

14.0 Field Duplicates

14.1 Were any field duplicates submitted for Pest/Aroclor analysis?

ACTION: Compare the reported results for field duplicates and calculate the relative percent difference.

[]

ACTION: Any gross variation between field duplicate results must be addressed in the reviewer narrative. If large differences exist, contact the TOPO to confirm identification of field duplicates with the sampler.

Definitions

```
BFB - bromofluorobenzene
BHC - benzene hexachloride
BNA - base neutral acid
CADRE - Computer Aided Data Review and Evaluation
CARD - CLP Analytical Results Database
CCS - contract compliance screening
CLASS - Contract Laboratory Analytical Services Support
CLP - Contract Laboratory Program
CRQL - Contract Required Quantitation Limit
DCB -decachlorobiphenyl
DDD - dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
DDE - dichlorodiphenylethane
DDT - dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
GC - gas chromatography
GC/EC - gas chromatography/electron capture detector
GC/MS - qas chromatography/mass spectroscopy
GPC - gel permeation chromatography
kg - kilogram
: q - microgram
MAGIC - Mainframe Access Graphical Interface with CARD
R - liter
LCS - Laboratory Control Sample
LES - Laboratory Evaluation Sample
mR - milliliter
PCB - Polychlorinated Biphenyl
PEM - Performance Evaluation Mixture
QC - quality control
RAS - Routine Analytical Services
RIC - reconstructed ion chromatogram
RPD - relative percent difference
RRF - relative response factor
RRF - average relative response factor (from initial
calibration)
RRT - relative retention time
RSD - relative standard deviation
RT - retention time
RSCC - Regional Sample Control Center
SDG - sample delivery group
SMC - system monitoring compound
SOP - standard operating procedure
SOW - Statement of Work
SVOA - semivolatile organic acid
TCL - Target Compound List
TCLP - Toxicity Characteristics Leachate Procedure
TCX -tetrachloro-m-xylene
TIC - tentatively identified compound
TPO - technical project officer
VOA - volatile organic acid
```

VTSR - validated time of sample receipt TOPO - Task Order Project Officer

References

SOW/CLP OLC03.2 National Functional Guidelines (June 2001)